



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,416	06/23/2003	Sanjay Agrawal	172033.01	7781
22971	7590	03/31/2008		
MICROSOFT CORPORATION ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052-6399			EXAMINER GORTAYO, DANGELINO N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2168	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2008	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

roks@microsoft.com  
ntovar@microsoft.com  
a-rydore@microsoft.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/601,416	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AGRAWAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DANGELINO N. GORTAYO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2168	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) DANGELINO N. GORTAYO. (3) SUNG KIM (Reg # 45,398).  
 (2) DEBBIE LE. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 53.

Identification of prior art discussed: Aggarwal (US Patent 6,922,700 B1) and Rao ("Automating Physical Database Design in a Parallel Database"; Rao et al. 2002; Proceedings of the 2002 ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data. Pp. 558-569).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative went over a general summary of the invention and the merging of horizontal partitioning methods as it pertains to the prior art of record and the examiner's interpretation of the merging of horizontal partitioning structures and methods. Also discussed was the 35 USC 101 issues of claim 53 and 60. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/DEBBIE M LE/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required